

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSI ONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS was unsported.

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 293,188	04 16 1999	ZHIPING YIN	11675.165.1	4546
22901	7590 03 26 2003			
GREGORY M. TAYLOR			EXAMINER	
WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			CAO, PHAT X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5	,		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\</i> \\
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/293,188	YIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phat X. Cao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	. 136(a) In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ate, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	Chanmber 2002		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>			
,_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			merits is
4)	nding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 7-10, 15-20, 29-30</u> is/are rejected	ed		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	04 .		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
Application Papers	or closuon requirement.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		tage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			ipplication).
a) The translation of the foreign language parts) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)	one priority under ou o.o.c	33 120 GHWOL (21.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/293,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-20 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al (US. 5,780,908) in view of Hong et al (US. 6,077,774).

Sekiguchi et al disclose in Fig. 3(b) a semiconductor structure comprising: an electrically conductive interconnect disposed within a first dielectric layer 4, the electrically conductive interconnect having an upper surface and including: a titanium/titanium nitride bilayer film 6 disposed within a depression in the first dielectric layer 4; a tungsten film 7 disposed upon the titanium/titanium nitride bilayer film 6 and filling the depression; a passivation layer 7b of tungsten nitride layer, disposed upon the upper surface and having a thickness of less than 50 angstroms (column 16, lines 20-24), the passivation layer 7b formed by exposing the surface of the electrically conductive interconnect 7 to plasma in an atmosphere of ammonia (NH4) (column 15, lines 50-54) for nitriding an area in the vicinity of the surface of the electrically conductive interconnect 7 (column 15, lines 50-54). Therefore, the passivation layer 7b of tungsten nitride (WN) would inherently comprise Hydrogen (H) adsorbed upon the upper surface

Application/Control Number: 09/293,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

for forming the chemical structure W-N-H (claim 1). It is noted that a first passivation layer comprising tungsten nitride and a second passivation layer comprising ammonia as claimed in claims 7, 17, 19 and 30 do not distinguish from the passivation layer 7b of tungsten nitride comprising ammonia derivatives (N and H) of Sekiguchi which can be <u>arbitrarily subdivided</u> into numerous sub-layers about each other. It is also noted that because the passivation layer 7b of tungsten nitride in the vicinity of the surface of the interconnect (column 12, lines 29-37) to a thickness of <u>several</u> nm for functioning as a barrier layer (column 11, lines 33-35), the passivation layer 7b would inherently chemically protect at least <u>one</u> atomic lattice layers of the interconnect.

Sekiguchi et al do not disclose an ILD disposed upon the first dielectric layer 4 and being continuously adhered to the upper surface.

However, Hong et al teach in Fig. 1F the obviousness of forming an ILD 36 upon the dielectric layer 12 and continuously adhered to the upper surface of the electrically conductive interconnect 30. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form an ILD upon the dielectric layer 4 and continuously adhered to the upper surface of the electrically conductive interconnect 7 of Sekiguchi, because the ILD would provide the known purpose of isolating and protecting the electrically conductive interconnect from the outside ambient. Note that process limitations (i.e., forming by Brunauer's Type V adsortion, forming by exposing to a plasma consisting essentially of a nitrogen-containing silane) do not carry weight in a claim drawn to structure. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application Control Number: 09/293.188 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

3. Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-20 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. in view of Liao (US. 6,114,238).

As discussed above, Fig. 3(b) of Sekiguchi et al substantially reads on the above claims, except it does not disclose an ILD disposed upon the first dielectric layer and being continuously adhered to the upper surface of the conductive interconnect.

However, in view of Fig. 1 of Liao, it would have been obvious to form an ILD upon the dielectric layer 4 and continuously adhered to the upper surface of the conductive interconnect 7 of Sekiguchi, because the ILD would provide the known purpose of isolating and protecting the electrically conductive interconnect from the outside ambient.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant argues that Sekiguchi does not suggest the passivation layer comprises the chemical structure M-N-H (claim 1) and chemically protects about 1-1,000 atomic lattice layers of the interconnect.

Applicant's arguments are nt persuasive because Sekiguchi does suggest the above features (see ground of rejection for details).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is (703) 308-4917. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by

Application/Control Number: 09/293,188

Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

telephone are unsuccessfully, the Examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (703) 308-4918.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Group 2800 fax number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

PC

March 23, 2003

CANCON KA

PHAT A. CAL

PHAT A.